

FBIS COPYING FACILITY

Start-up costs:  
Recurring costs:

STAT

LOW QUALITY, HIGH CONTROL, MEDIUM COST, MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS

-----

Space requirements of at least 5,500 square feet

STAT

Need at least 6 Xerox 9900s  
pages/month)

(capable of handling 1 million

STAT

Staff would be very hard to find and keep in production environment.  
Need  each per year.

STAT

Management time necessary.

Control would be good, could bump low-priority reports for best sellers.

Quality would be poor and unprofessional in appearance.

Highly impractical. Hard to xerox 200 copies of 200-page reports  
continuously.

NOTE THAT P&PD ONLY XEROXES THIN, LOW VOLUME REPORTS--TYPICALLY FOUO S&T  
REPORTS.

FBIS PRINTSHOP

Start-up costs:  
Recurring costs:

STAT

HIGH QUALITY, HIGH CONTROL, LOWEST COST, MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS

-----

Space requirements of at least 5,500 square feet

STAT

Six offset printing presses would cost  according to  
P&PD. O&M would run

STAT

STAT

Skilled printing equipment operators would be very hard to find and keep.  
Need  each per year. P&PD HAS ALWAYS  
SUFFERED CHRONIC STAFFING PROBLEMS.

STAT

Management time necessary.

Control over flow would be good; could bump low-priority reports for best  
sellers.

Quality would be at least as good as what we now receive. In the old  
JPRS printshop, the employees worked quite a bit with the reports to make  
them the best they could be. P&PD is famous for printing bum copies/runs  
of our reports without noticing.

Turnaround time would be much improved if staffing was assured.

WOULD HAVE TO SUBMIT THIS AS A NEW INITIATIVE.

CONTRACTING OUR WORK OUT

Start-up costs:

STAT

Recurring costs:

HIGH QUALITY, MEDIUM CONTROL, HIGHEST COST, NO MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS

-----

Fixed cost

STAT

STAT

(JPRS was quoted a price of  to do that infamous Russian military report with color maps. One-shot.)

No space requirements. No management time. Staffing would be someone else's problem.

Control over priority would suffer. Presumably contractor would print reports on a first-in, first out basis.

Quality would be at least as good as what we now receive. We would have much more say in what we consider acceptable than we do with P&PD.

Turnaround time would be better than in our arrangement with P&PD as we would not be at the mercy of classified, "higher priority" printing.

Security concerns?

NOTE THAT P&PD COULD ONLY TURN UP ONE CONTRACTOR THAT WOULD BE WILLING TO BID AT ALL.

Per

STAT

P&PD PLANT EXPANSION

89

90

STAT

To provide a printing, binding capability at Reston

STAT

Equipment to include software that will allow electronic transfer of information.

STAT

Excerpts of justification:

(most of it due to DI)

"Voluminous reports by FBIS ....P&PD has reached capacity...lengthy backlogs ..... restrictions being imposed by FBIS....."

going to try and get a copy of initiative from C/P&PD.

STAT

Sheila